

LINKAGE OF MODULES OVER COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

MOHAMMAD T. DIBAEI¹, MOHSEN GHEIBI², S. H. HASSANZADEH³, AND ARASH SADEGHI⁴

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the works in linkage theory of ideals, the concept of sliding depth of extension modules is defined to prove the Cohen-Macaulyness of linked module if the base ring is merely Cohen-Macaulay. Some relations between this new condition and other module-theory conditions such as G-dimension and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay are established. By the way several already known theorems in linkage theory are improved or recovered by new approaches.

1. INTRODUCTION

Classification is one of the main perspective in any field of mathematics. Among rare theories deliberate this viewpoint in commutative algebra and Algebraic Geometry, linkage theory is a well-developed theory during decades. Classically it refers to Halphen (1870) and M. Noether [16](1882) who worked to classify space curves. During forties and fifties in twenty century Apéry and Gaéta started the new contributions to classify curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$, the reason one always feels that the twisted cubic curve is that smooth is behind the fact that it is linked to a line. In 1974 the significant work of Peskine and Szpiro[17] brought breakthrough to this theory and stated it in the modern algebraic language; two closed subschemes V_1 and V_2 in $\mathbb{P}^n$ are said to be linked if they are unmixed with no common component and their union is complete intersection. More precisely two ideals I and J in Cohen-Macaulay local ring R is said to be linked if there is a regular sequence α in their intersection such that $I = \alpha : J$ and $J = \alpha : I$. The first main theorem in the theory of linkage is the following [17].

Theorem A. If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Gorenstein local ring, I and J are two linked ideals of R then R/I is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if R/J is so.

Attempts to generalize this theorem lead to several development in linkage theory, especially the works by C. Huneke and B. Ulrich [9],[10]. A counterexample given by Peskine and Szpiro in the same article shows that *Theorem A* is no longer true if the base ring R is only Cohen-Macaulay (from now on CM). Trying to determine the accurate condition for an ideal I , in a CM local ring, such that any ideal which is linked to I be CM, Huneke [9] introduced the concept of Strongly Cohen-Macaulay (SCM) condition, in the sense that an ideal I is SCM if all of the Koszul homology modules with respect to some generating set of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C40, 13D45, 13C14.

Key words and phrases. Linkage of modules, Sliding Depth of Extention modules, modules with Cohen-Macaulay extension, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay

1. M.T. Dibaei was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 89130114)

3. S.H.Hassanzadeh was partially supported by a grant from CNPq (Brazil).

I are Cohen-Macaulay. He stated that *in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring any ideal linked to a strongly Cohen-Macaulay ideal is Cohen-Macaulay*. Herzog, Vasconcelos and Villarreal [11] replaced the SCM condition by the so called Sliding Depth condition; namely we say that an ideal I satisfies sliding depth condition if $\text{depth } H_i \geq \dim(R) - r + i$, for $i > 0$, where H_i is i th homology of Koszul with respect to some generating set of I and r is the number of elements of this generating set.

The new progress in the linkage theory is the recent work of Martsinkovsky and Strooker [14] which established the concept of linkage of modules. This paper began to attract some interest, they not only could recover some of the known theorems in the theory of linked ideals such as the ones in [19] but also present new conceptual ideas that only exist in module theory.

In this paper, inspired by the works in the ideal case, we extend the strongly Cohen-Macaulay and sliding depth condition for modules; so that we can state Theorem A for linked modules in CM local rings.

In section 2, as mentioned above, we define the new sliding depth conditions for modules so called SDE (Sliding Depth on Exts) or CME (Cohen-Macaulay Exts). Some sufficient condition for being SDE or CME is given, for example in Proposition 2.2 it is shown that Cohen-Macaulay R -modules with finite G-dimension are CME. As well it is proven that over Cohen-Macaulay local ring R , if M is SDE, then λM is maximal Cohen-Macaulay (see Corollary 2.4).

Trying to detect the module theory invariants that have no ideal inscription in the theory of linkage of ideals, we encounter to the combinatorial conception *sequentially Cohen-Macaulay*. We first present a computational criterion for this concept involving the ideas from linkage of module in Corollary 2.8. Finally in this section we pose an extension to a theorem of Foxby [7] for the class of CME modules and answer this question; so that in Cohen-Macaulay local ring with canonical module ω_R , it is shown that M is CME if and only if $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for $i > 0$ (Theorem 2.11).

In section 3, for a finite R -module M over Cohen-Macaulay local ring R of dimension $d \geq 2$ with canonical module ω_R , we establish a duality between local cohomology modules of $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ and those of λM (Theorem 3.3) provided $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ be generalized Cohen-Macaulay. This theorem is a generalization to [14, Theorem 10] and also [19] while for its proof instead of techniques in derived category we appeal to Spectral sequences. Also whenever M is generalized Cohen-Macaulay, under some vanishing assumption on Tor-modules of M and ω_R we show that $H_m^i(\lambda M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$, (Corollary 3.4).

2. SDE AND CME MODULES

Throughout, R is a Noetherian ring and M is a finite generated R -module. Assume that M is a stable R -module (i.e. M has no projective summand). Let $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a finite projective presentation of M . The transpose $\text{Tr } M$ of M is defined to be $\text{Coker } f^*$ where $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$, which is unique up to projective equivalence. Thus the minimal projective presentations of M represent isomorphic transposes of M and it is also stable R -module (see [2, Theorem 32.13]). Let $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} M$ be an epimorphism such that P is a projective. The syzygy module of M , denoted by ΩM , is the kernel of α which is unique up to projective equivalence. Thus ΩM is determined uniquely up to isomorphism if $P \rightarrow M$ is a projective cover. The operator $\lambda = \Omega \text{Tr}$, introduced by Martsinkovsky and Strooker, enabled them to define linkage for modules: Two finitely generated R -modules M and N are said to be *horizontally linked* if $M \cong \lambda N$ and $N \cong \lambda M$. Thus, M is horizontally linked (to λM) if and only if $M \cong \lambda^2 M$. It is shown in [14, Proposition 8 in section 4] that, over a Gorenstein local ring R , a stable R -module M with $\dim M = \dim R$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay if and only if λM is maximal Cohen-Macaulay and M is unmixed. If the ring R is merely a Cohen-Macaulay local ring this statements is not true [14, section 6].

The following definition is the module theory version of strongly Cohen-Macaulay and Sliding depth conditions.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a local ring of dimension d and let M be a finitely generated R -module. The module M is called to be SDE (having Sliding Depth of Extension modules) if either $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ or $\text{depth}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)) \geq d - i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$. Also M is called to be CME (having Cohen-Macaulay Extension modules) if either $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ or $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d - i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$.

To see the ambiguity of CME-modules, it is shown in the next proposition that any Cohen-Macaulay module with finite G-dimension is a CME. Clearly any CME module is SDE. For the definition of G-dimension we refer to [6].

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d . Then any Cohen-Macaulay R -module with finite G-dimension is CME.*

Proof. Let M be Cohen-Macaulay R -module with finite G-dimension. The Auslander-Bridger formula $\text{G-dim}_R(M) + \text{depth}_R(M) = \text{depth } R$ [6, Theorem 1.4.8] in conjunction with the Cohen-Macaulayness of M , imply that $\text{grade}_R(M) = \text{G-dim}_R(M) =: g$. So that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ for all $i \neq g$. Choose $\underline{x} := x_1, \dots, x_g$ to be a maximal R -sequence contained in $\text{Ann}_R(M)$. We have $\text{Ext}_R^g(M, R) \cong \text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(M, R/(\underline{x}))$ and $\text{Ext}_{R/(\underline{x})}^i(M, R/(\underline{x})) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Since M is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R/(\underline{x})$ -module, by [5, Proposition 3.3.3], $\text{Hom}_{R/(\underline{x})}(M, R/(\underline{x}))$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R/(\underline{x})$ -module. Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^g(M, R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d - g$. $\square$

Determining the depth of linked ideals is in the center of the questions on the arithmetic properties of ideals. About linkage of modules the depth of modules linked to SDE modules is rather under control.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let M be a SDE R -module. Then $\text{depth}_R(\lambda M) \geq \min\{\text{depth}_R(M), \text{depth}_R(R)\}$.*

Proof. Set $t = \min\{\text{depth}_R(M), \text{depth}_R(R)\}$. For $t = 0$ it is trivial. Suppose that $t > 0$. Set $X := \cup_{i=1}^{d-1} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)) \cup \text{Ass}_R(M) \cup \text{Ass}_R(R)$. As M is SDE and $t > 0$, there is $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in X} \mathfrak{p}$. Set $\overline{M} = M/xM$ and $\overline{R} = R/xR$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow 0$ implies the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^* \xrightarrow{x} M^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \overline{R}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, R) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^1(M, R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

As each map $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ is an injection for all $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, we have standard isomorphisms $\text{Ext}_{\overline{R}}^i(\overline{M}, \overline{R}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \overline{R}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)/x\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, d-2$. Therefore $\overline{M}$ is SDE as $\overline{R}$ -module. Let $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal projective presentation of M and consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow \lambda M \rightarrow 0$. As λM is a syzygy module, x is also a non-zero-divisor on λM . Thus there is a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M^*/xM^* & \longrightarrow & P_0^*/xP_0^* & \longrightarrow & \lambda M/x\lambda M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}, \overline{R}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{P}_0, \overline{R}) & \longrightarrow & \lambda_{\overline{R}} \overline{M} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which implies that $\lambda M/x\lambda M \cong \lambda_{\overline{R}} \overline{M}$.

By induction $\text{depth}_{\overline{R}}(\lambda_{\overline{R}} \overline{M}) \geq \min\{\text{depth}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}), \text{depth}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{R})\} = t-1$. Thus $\text{depth}_R(\lambda M) \geq t$. $\square$

As a corollary of the above general proposition, we have the following generalization of *Theorem A* which is in fact the module theory version of [9, Proposition 1.1].

Corollary 2.4. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension, and let M be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay and SDE R -module. Then λM is maximal Cohen-Macaulay.*

The composed functors $\mathcal{T}_i := \text{Tr } \Omega^{i-1}$ for $i > 0$ have been already introduced by Auslander and Bridger [1] and recently used by Nishida [15] to relate linkage and duality. In the following result, over Cohen-Macaulay local ring, we characterize an SDE module M in terms of depths of the R -modules $\mathcal{T}_i M$ and $\lambda \Omega^i M$. Moreover, it follows that for λM to be maximal Cohen-Macaulay we only need M to be SDE.

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$, M a finitely generated R -module. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) M is SDE.
- (ii) $\text{depth}_R(\mathcal{T}_i M) \geq d-i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$.
- (iii) $\text{depth}_R(\lambda \Omega^i M) \geq d-i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d-2$.

Proof. For a stable finite R -module N , there is an exact sequence ([14, section 5]),

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr } N, R) \rightarrow N \rightarrow \lambda^2 N \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that since the transpose of every finite R -module is either stable or zero, $\text{Tr } \mathcal{T}_i M$ is

stably isomorphic to $\Omega^{i-1}M$ for $i > 0$, and $\text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega^{i-1}M, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$, so we have the exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_i M \longrightarrow \lambda^2 \mathcal{T}_i M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Also, since $\lambda^2 \mathcal{T}_i M$ is stably isomorphic to $\Omega \mathcal{T}_{i+1} M$ and R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \text{depth}_R(\lambda^2 \mathcal{T}_i M) = \text{depth}_R(\Omega \mathcal{T}_{i+1} M).$$

(i) $\implies$ (ii). We proceed by induction on i . From the exact sequence (2.1) we have $\text{depth}_R(\mathcal{T}_{d-1} M) \geq 1$. Now suppose that $i \leq d-2$ and $\text{depth}_R(\mathcal{T}_{i+1} M) \geq d-i-1$, accordingly, $\text{depth}_R(\Omega \mathcal{T}_{i+1} M) \geq d-i$ which in turn implies $\text{depth}_R(\mathcal{T}_i M) \geq d-i$, using (2.2) and (2.1).

(ii) $\implies$ (i). By (2.2) and the assumption, $\text{depth}_R(\lambda^2 \mathcal{T}_i M) = \text{depth}_R(\Omega \mathcal{T}_{i+1} M) \geq d-i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$. Using (2.1), we get either $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ or $\text{depth}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)) \geq d-i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$.

(ii) $\iff$ (iii) Note that $\Omega \mathcal{T}_{i+1} M = \lambda \Omega^i M$ for each i . Thus $\text{depth}_R(\mathcal{T}_i M) \geq d-i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ if and only if $\text{depth}_R(\lambda \Omega^i M) = \text{depth}_R(\Omega \mathcal{T}_{i+1} M) \geq d-i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, d-2$. $\square$

A shellable simplicial complex is a special kind of Cohen-Macaulay complex with a simple combinatorial definition. Shellability is a simple but powerful tool for proving the Cohen-Macaulay property. A simplicial complex Δ is pure if each facet (= maximal face) has the same dimension(cf. [18, Section II]).

The concept of *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* was defined by combinatorial commutative algebraists (*loc. cit.* 3.9) to answer a basic question to find a "nonpure" generalization of the concept of a Cohen-Macaulay module, so that the face ring of a shellable (nonpure) simplicial complex has this property.

This concept was then applied by commutative algebraists to study some algebraic invariants or special algebras come from graphs(c.f. [3]). In following propositions we see the relation between *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay*, SDE and CME as well as a way to construct a family of modules with these properties.

Definition 2.6. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. A finite filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_r = M$ of submodules of M is called a *Cohen-Macaulay filtration*, if each quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay, and $\dim_R(M_1/M_0) < \dim_R(M_2/M_1) < \dots < \dim_R(M_r/M_{r-1})$. The module M is called *Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay* if M admits a Cohen-Macaulay filtration.

A basic fact about Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules is the following theorem of Herzog and Popescu [8, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 2.7. Let R be Cohen-Macaulay local of dimension d with canonical module ω_R . The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) M is Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, \omega_R)$ are either 0 or Cohen-Macaulay of dimension i for all $i \geq 0$.

Thus one observes that over a Gorenstein local ring the conditions SDE, CME and Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay are equivalent. Hence Theorem 2.5 provides the following computable characterization of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules.

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be Gorenstein local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and M be a finitely generated R -module. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) M is Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) $\text{depth}_R(\lambda\Omega^i M) \geq d - i$ for all i , $0 \leq i \leq d - 2$.

To prove Proposition 2.10, we need to recall the next generalization of the definition of linkage of modules, [14, Definition 4].

Definition 2.9. Let M and N be two finitely generated R -modules. The module M is said to be *linked* to N by an ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ of R , if $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M) \cap \text{Ann}_R(N)$ and M and N are horizontally linked as $R/\mathfrak{c}$ -modules.

The following result shows that, over Gorenstein local ring, each of the properties Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, (or equivalently SDE or CME) is preserved under evenly linkage.

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a Gorenstein local ring. Then the condition sequentially Cohen-Macaulay (or equivalently, SDE or CME) is preserved under evenly linkage by ideals.*

Proof. Set $d := \dim R$. Let $\mathfrak{c}_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}_2$ be Gorenstein ideals. Assume that M_1 , M , and M_2 are R -modules such that M_1 is linked to M by $\mathfrak{c}_1$ and M is linked to M_2 by $\mathfrak{c}_2$. For each $i > 0$, by [14, Lemma 11 and Proposition 16], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^{i+g}(M_1, R) &\cong \text{Ext}_{R/\mathfrak{c}_1}^i(M_1, R/\mathfrak{c}_1) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{R/\mathfrak{c}_2}^i(M_2, R/\mathfrak{c}_2) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+g}(M_2, R) \end{aligned}$$

where $g = \text{ht } \mathfrak{c}_1 = \text{ht } \mathfrak{c}_2 = \text{grade}_R M_1 = \text{grade}_R M_2$.

Suppose that M_1 is Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. By Theorem 2.9, $\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M_1, R)$ is either zero or Cohen-Macaulay of dimension i for each i . Hence $\text{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{c}_1}(M_1, R/\mathfrak{c}_1) (\cong \text{Ext}_R^g(M_1, R))$ is Cohen-Macaulay R -module of dimension $d - g$, and so it is maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R/\mathfrak{c}_1$ -module. Note that, for $j = 1, 2$ there are exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{c}_j}(M_j, R/\mathfrak{c}_j) \rightarrow P_j \rightarrow \lambda_{R/\mathfrak{c}_j} M_j \rightarrow 0$$

where P_j is a projective $R/\mathfrak{c}_j$ -module. Thus we have $\text{depth}_{R/\mathfrak{c}_2}(\lambda_{R/\mathfrak{c}_2} M_2) = \text{depth}_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(\lambda_{R/\mathfrak{c}_1} M_1) \geq d - g - 1$. Again $\text{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{c}_2}(M_2, R/\mathfrak{c}_2)$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R/\mathfrak{c}_2$ -module, and so that $\text{Ext}_R^g(M_2, R)$ is Cohen-Macaulay R -module of dimension $d - g$. Hence M_2 is Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay R -module by Theorem 2.7. $\square$

As mentioned just after Theorem 2.7, over Gorenstein local rings, CME modules are exactly sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules. On the other hand, when $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is Cohen-Macaulay ring with canonical module ω_R , it follows from the result [7, Theorem 2.5] of Foxby that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, whenever $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$. Moreover, Khatami and Yassemi in [12, Theorem 1.11] prove that whenever $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is Cohen-Macaulay ring

with canonical module ω_R and M is an R -module with finite Gorenstein dimension then $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if M is Cohen-Macaulay. Note that by Lemma 2.2, if $\text{G-dim}_R M < \infty$ and M is Cohen-Macaulay then M is CME, i.e. the class of CME module contains the class of Cohen-Macaulay modules of finite G-dimensions. Hence the following question is naturally posed.

What does happen if in results of Foxby, Yassemi and Khatami one replace finite G-dimension and Cohen-Macaulay conditions of M with the condition that M is CME?

The following Theorem provides an answer to this question.

Theorem 2.11. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with the canonical module ω_R , and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

- (i) M is CME.
- (ii) $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $P_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of M , and let $I^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ be an injective resolution of ω_R and construct the third quadrant double complex $F := \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, R), I^\bullet)$. Let ${}^vE$ (resp. ${}^hE$) denote the vertical (resp. horizontal) spectral sequence associated to the double complex F . Then ${}^vE_2^{i,j} \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R), \omega_R)$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ is either zero or is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d - i$, we have

$${}^vE_2^{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R), \omega_R) & i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By using the equivalence of functors $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(X, R), Y)$ and $X \otimes_R Y$, when X (resp. Y) belongs to the subcategory of projective (respectively injective) R -modules, we find that the double complex $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, R), I^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the third quadrant double complex $P_\bullet \otimes_R I^\bullet$. Now we may use this double complex to find that

$${}^hE_2^{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) & j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that ${}^hE_\infty = {}^hE_2$ and ${}^vE_\infty = {}^vE_2$. By comparing the two spectral sequences ${}^hE$ and ${}^vE$ we get $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Thus there is a filtration $0 = \Phi_{d+1} \subset \Phi_d \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_0 = M \otimes_R \omega_R$ of $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R), \omega_R) \cong \Phi_i / \Phi_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, d$. Note that, by [5, Theorem 3.3.10], $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R), \omega_R)$ is either zero or Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d - i$. In other words $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Consider the third quadrant double complex $\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet \otimes_R \omega_R, E^\bullet)$. Using the same notation as before, let ${}^vE$ (resp. ${}^hE$) be the vertical (resp. horizontal) spectral sequences associated to the double complex $\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet \otimes_R \omega_R, E^\bullet)$. Then ${}^vE_2^{i,j} \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tor}_j^R(M, \omega_R), \omega_R) \cong 0$, for all $j > 0$, by our assumption. By using the equivalence of functors $\text{Hom}_R(X \otimes_R \omega_R, Y)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(X, \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, Y))$ in the category of R -modules, we find the following isomorphism of double complexes $\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet \otimes_R \omega_R, E^\bullet) \cong$

$\text{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, E^\bullet))$. Thus, we get ${}^hE_{i,j}^2 \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Ext}_R^j(\omega_R, \omega_R))$, for all $i, j \geq 0$. As $\text{Ext}_R^i(\omega_R, \omega_R) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, \omega_R) \cong R$, we get

$${}^hE_{i,j}^2 \cong \begin{cases} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) & j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As the two spectral sequences ${}^vE$ and ${}^hE$ collapse, we have ${}^hE^\infty = {}^hE^2$ and ${}^vE^\infty = {}^vE^2$ and so that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M \otimes_R \omega_R, \omega_R)$. Since $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0$ or Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $d - i$ (see [5, Theorem 1.9]), i.e. M is CME. $\square$

Corollary 2.12. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Set $\omega_{\widehat{R}}$ as the canonical module of $\widehat{R}$, the completion of R with respect to the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) M is CME.
- (ii) $\widehat{M} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \omega_{\widehat{R}}$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{Tor}_i^{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{M}, \omega_{\widehat{R}}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

3. LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND LINKAGE

The main purpose of this section is to give a generalization of [14, Theorem 10] which states that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\lambda M) \cong D(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(M))$ for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, whenever M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module over Gorenstein local ring R , where $D(-)$ is the Matlis duality functor. Here we assume that R is Cohen-Macaulay with canonical module ω_R such that $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay; it is then shown that for each $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M \otimes_R \omega_R) \cong D(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-i}(\lambda M))$. Also whenever M is generalized Cohen-Macaulay, under some vanishing assumption on Tor-modules of M and ω_R , we show that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\lambda M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ (see Corollary 3.4).

The next proposition will lead to a "cohomologic criterion" for generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules to be linked (Corollary 3.2). This proposition has its own interest as it shows the exactness of the sequence 3.1. Although this exact sequence may be already known, but for the sake of a detailed proof and statement we mention it.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d with the canonical module ω_R . Assume that M is a finitely generated R -module, $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } R \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and that M satisfies the Serre condition (S_2) on the punctured spectrum. Set $M^v = \text{Hom}_R(M, \omega_R)$. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow M^{vv}$ be the natural map, $K := \text{Ker}(\phi)$ and $C := \text{Coker}(\phi)$. The following statements holds true.*

- (i) If $d = 0$ then $K = 0$.
- (ii) If $d \leq 1$ then $C = 0$.
- (iii) If $d \geq 1$ then $K \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$.
- (iv) If $d \geq 2$ then $C \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)$ and so there is an exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M^{vv} \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. If $d = 0$, it is clear by [5, Theorem 3.3.10] that $C = 0$ and $K = 0$. Assume that $d \geq 1$. One has $\text{depth}_R(M^{vv}) \geq \text{Min}\{2, \text{depth}_R(\omega_R)\} \geq 1$ and so $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M^{vv}) = 0$. By applying $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$ on the exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\phi} M^{vv} \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

it follows that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(K) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. Taking $d = 1$, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (M^{vv})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (M_{\mathfrak{p}})^{vv}$, which implies that $\text{Supp}_R(K) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ i.e. $K = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. Hence we get the exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow M^{vv} \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which, by applying $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M^{vv}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(C).$$

As $\text{depth}_R(M^v) \geq \min\{2, \text{depth}_R(\omega_R)\} \geq 1$, M^v is maximal Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore the natural map $M^v \longrightarrow M^{vvv}$ is isomorphism. Using the local duality theorem functorially gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M^{vv}) \\ & & & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & & & D(M^v) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & D(M^{vvv}), \end{array}$$

where $D(-) = \text{Hom}_R(-, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. Thus we get $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C) = 0$. Note that if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and so $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 = K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by [5, Theorem 3.3.10]. Hence $C = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C) = 0$.

In case $d \geq 2$, $\text{depth}_R(M^{vv}) \geq \min\{2, \text{depth}_R(\omega_R)\} \geq 2$ and (3.4) implies that

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M).$$

Finally, we prove by induction on $d \geq 2$ that $K = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and $C = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)$. Assume that the statement is settled for rings with dimension smaller than d . Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. We first show that $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp}_R(K) \cup \text{Supp}_R(C)$. If $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 0$, the claim holds true as before. Assume that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \geq 1$. As $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} < d$, induction hypothesis for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implies that $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R(R)$ and so $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R(M)$, we get $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 1$ and thus $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp}_R(K)$. For the case $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$, we already have, $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Assume that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \geq 2$. Again from the exact sequence 3.2 and the fact that $\text{depth}_R(M^{vv}) > 1$, we get $K = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(K) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. As R is Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R) \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \geq 2$ and so $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{Min}\{2, \dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})\} = 2$ because M satisfies (S_2) . Hence $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Hence $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp}_R(C)$. In particular, $K = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(K)$ and $C = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(C)$. Now $K = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ by (3.2), and $C = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)$ by (3.5). $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a Gorenstein local ring and let M be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay stable R -module with $\dim_R(M) = \dim R$. A necessary and sufficient condition for M to be horizontally linked is that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$.*

Proof. Note that, by [4, Exersice 9.5.6], $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(R) \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and M satisfies (S_2) on the punctured spectrum. As M is linked if and only if the natural map $M \rightarrow M^{**}$ is one to one, the result follows by Proposition 3.1. $\square$

In the following result, we extend [14, Theorm 10 in section 10] for Cohen-Macaulay rings with canonical module.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ with canonical module ω_R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension d , such that $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M \otimes_R \omega_R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-i}(\lambda M), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$.*

Proof. First we examine the general situation for an R -module N which is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d . Let $0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be an injective resolution of ω_R and $\dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of N and construct the third quadrant double complex $F := \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P_{\bullet}, \omega_R), I^{\bullet})$. Let ${}^vE$ (resp. ${}^hE$) denote the vertical (resp. horizontal) spectral sequence associated to the double complex F . Then ${}^vE_2^{i,j} \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, \omega_R), \omega_R)$. As N is generalized Cohen-Macaulay, by local duality theorem, $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, \omega_R)$ is of finite length, for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore

$${}^vE_2^{i,j} \cong \begin{cases} \text{Ext}_R^i(N^v, \omega_R) & \text{if } j = 0, \\ H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-j}(N) & \text{if } j \neq 0, i = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0, i \neq d. \end{cases}$$

As the map d^r is of bidegree $(r, 1-r)$, one can observe that ${}^vE_r^{r,0} \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-r}(N^v, \omega_R)$, for $r \geq 2$. Thus we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ext}_R^0(N^v, \omega_R) & \cdots & \text{Ext}_R^{d-2}(N^v, \omega_R) & \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(N^v, \omega_R) & \text{Ext}_R^d(N^v, \omega_R) & & \\ & & & \searrow d^2 & & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(N) & \\ & \vdots & & & & \vdots & \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(N) & \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(N) & \end{array}$$

d^d

To compute ${}^hE_2$, we change our double complex with the functorial isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P_i, \omega_R), I^j) \cong P_i \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, I^j)$. Thus we get

$${}^hE_2 \cong \begin{cases} N & \text{if } i = 0, j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $\text{Ker } d^r$ and $\text{Coker } d^r$ are isomorphic to ${}^vE_\infty$, comparing the two spectral sequences, one get isomorphisms $d^r : \text{Ext}_R^{d-r}(N^v, \omega_R) \longrightarrow H_m^{d-r+1}(N)$ for $r = 2, \dots, d-1$. Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^{d-r}(N^v, \omega_R)$ is of finite length and so, by local duality theorem, $\text{Ext}_R^{d-r}(N^v, \omega_R) \cong D(H_m^r(N^v))$. Hence one obtains the isomorphisms $H_m^{d-r+1}(N) \cong D(H_m^r(N^v))$, for all $r = 2, \dots, d-1$.

Replacing N by $M \otimes_R \omega_R$, gives

$$H_m^{d-i+1}(M \otimes_R \omega_R) \cong D(H_m^i(\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R \omega_R, \omega_R))) \cong D(H_m^i(M^*)),$$

for all $i = 2, \dots, d-1$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow \lambda M \rightarrow 0$. Applying $\Gamma_m(-)$ we get $H_m^{i+1}(M^*) \cong H_m^i(\lambda M)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d-2$. Therefore we have isomorphisms $H_m^i(M \otimes_R \omega_R) \cong D(H_m^{d-i}(\lambda M))$, for $i = 2, \dots, d-1$. Now it remains to prove the claim for $i = 1$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ and applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, \omega_R)$ on the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow \lambda M \rightarrow 0$, we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_0 \otimes_R \omega_R & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R \omega_R & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \text{Hom}_R(P_0^*, \omega_R) & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_R \omega_R)^{vv} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(\lambda M, \omega_R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & H_m^1(M \otimes_R \omega_R) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

which implies that $H_m^1(M \otimes_R \omega_R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(\lambda M, \omega_R) \cong D(H_m^{d-1}(\lambda M))$. $\square$

As the final result, we state the next corollary of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ with canonical module ω_R , and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Suppose that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ is of finite length for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Then $H_m^i(\lambda M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, and so λM is generalized Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. Since $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \omega_R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, as in the proof of Theorem 2.11 ((ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i)), $\text{Ext}_R^i(M \otimes_R \omega_R, \omega_R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ for $i = 0, \dots, d-1$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(M \otimes_R \omega_R, \omega_R)$ is of finite length for $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ and so that $M \otimes_R \omega_R$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore the result follows from local duality theorem and Theorem 3.3. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. of the AMS 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1969.
2. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

3. A. Aramova, J. Herzog, *Ideals with Stable Betti Numbers*, Advances in Mathematics 152, 72–77 (2000).
4. M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
5. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
6. L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein Dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1747, Springer, 2000.
7. H-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand. 31 (1972), 267-285.
8. J. Herzog and D. Popescu, *Finite filtrations of modules and shellable multicomplexes*, manuscripta math. 121 (2006), 385-410.
9. C. Huneke *Linkage and the Koszul homology of ideals*, Amer. J. Math. 104 (1982), no. 5, 1043-1062.
10. C. Huneke, B. Ulrich, *The Structure of Linkage*, Ann. of Math. **126**(1987), 277–334.
11. Herzog, J.; Vasconcelos, W. V.; Villarreal, R. *Ideals with sliding depth*, Nagoya Math. J. 99 (1985), 159-172.
12. L. Khatami and S. Yassemi, *Cohen-Macaulayness of Tensor products*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 34 (2004), 205-213.
13. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press 1986.
14. A. Martsinkovsky and J. R. Strooker, *Linkage of modules*, J. Algebra 271 (2004), 587-626.
15. K. Nishida, *Linkage and duality of modules*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 51 (2009), 71-81.
16. M. Noether, *Zur Grundlegung der Theorie der algebraischen Raumcurven*, J. fr die reine und angewandte Math. 93 (1882), 271-318,
17. C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Liasion des variétés algébriques. I*, Inv. math, 26 (1974), 271-302.
18. R. P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*. Birkhäuser, Based(1983).
19. P. Schenzel, *Notes on liaison and duality* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 22 (1982/83), no. 3, 485-498.

^{1,2,3,4} FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND COMPUTER, TARBIAT MOALLEM UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

^{1,2,4} SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `dibaeimt@ipm.ir`

E-mail address: `mohsen.gheibi@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `sadeghiarash61@gmail.com`

³ DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CCEN, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PERNAMBUCO, 50740-540 RECIFE, PE BRAZIL.

E-mail address: `hamid@dmf.ufpe.br`